

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/428,468  | 10/28/1999    | SAID O. BELHAJ       | BELHAJ5                         | 4691             |
| 75  | 90 12/04/2002 |                      |                                 |                  |
|   | MANELLI PLLC  |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |
| 2000 M STREET NW<br>7TH FLOOR<br>WASHINGTON, DC 200363307 |               |                      | SPENCER, WILLIAM C              |                  |
|   |               |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 0.656                           | -1 \d            |
|   |               |                      | 2675<br>DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002 | . 10             |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

gr



## Office Action Summary

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)    |  |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/428,468         | BELHAJ, SAID O. |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit        |  |
| William C. Spencer | 2675            |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

### A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

| Status        |                          |                 |                  |
|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1)⊠           | Responsive to communicat | ion(s) filed on | 19 August 2002   |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL.    | 2b)⊠            | This action is r |

## D

| 2a)☐ This action is FI  | <b>NAL</b> . 2b)⊠                 | This action is non-final.  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   |                                   | owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   | •                                 |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8</u>   | - <u>10 and 14-20</u> is/are pend | ing in the application.  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above  | claim(s) is/are with              | drawn from consideration.  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) i   | s/are allowed.                    |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8-</u>   | 10 and 14-20 is/are rejected      | ed.  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is  | s/are objected to.                |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) a   | are subject to restriction an     | nd/or election requirement.  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                                   |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification  | is objected to by the Exam        | niner.   |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) file  | ed on is/are: a)□ a               | ccepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |                                   |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.      |                                   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corre  | ected drawings are required in    | n reply to this Office action.   |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declar  | ration is objected to by the      | Examiner.  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                                   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgmen   | t is made of a claim for for      | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |
| a)∐ All b)∭ Som   | e * c)☐ None of:                  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified co   | opies of the priority docum       | ents have been received.   |  |  |
| 2. Certified co   | opies of the priority docum       | ents have been received in Application No  |  |  |
|   |                                   | priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |
|   |                                   | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment i  | s made of a claim for dom         | estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.                   |                                   |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment  | is made of a claim for dom        | nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                                   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited   | (PTO-892)                         | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/428,468

Art Unit: 2675

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsu, U.S. Patent no. 6,417,787.

As to claim 1, Hsu discloses a switch matrix in FIG. 4A with timing shown in FIG. 4B. In col. 3, line 56 through col. 4, line 8, "forward direction detecting" is disclosed, where the rows are driven and the column signals are sensed (FIG. 4B left), and "reverse direction detecting" is disclosed, where the columns are driven and the row signals are sensed (FIG. 4B right). As disclosed in col. 4, lines 24-28, the first embodiment uses the circuit of FIG. 5 for keys 42, 44 of FIG. 4A. As disclosed in col. 3, line 24, the original keyboard of MxN keys is increased to 2xMxN keys, which is greater than the product of rows and columns.

As to claims 4, 5, 9, 14 and 15, Hsu discloses a keyboard. Inherently, the keys are momentary (temporary connection) pushbuttons.

As to claims 8 and 10, the number of switches is twice the product of the number of rows and the number of columns.

Application/Control Number: 09/428,468

Art Unit: 2675

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu. Refer to above discussion of claims 1, 4 and 8.

As to claim 16, the switch matrix of Hsu uses the method described above. As to claim 17, Hsu discloses setting port A for driving and port B for receiving in col. 4, lines 29-31 and setting port B for driving and port A for receiving in col. 4, lines 37-39. Rudimentary understanding shows that key 52 will only be sensed in the first case. Hsu does not disclose a preferred connection of the ports A and B to the rows or columns, but there are only two possibilities. Hsu goes on to describe comparison between the scanning results in both directions. In a case where port A is connected to a column and B to a row, key 52 is sensed by the presence of the column voltage on the row conductor (in the absence of signal in the opposite direction) and key 54 is sensed by the presence of the row voltage on the column conductor.

As to claim 18, Hsu discloses a keyboard. Inherently, the keys are momentary (temporary connection) pushbuttons.

Further as to claims 6 and 16-20, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention that any type of switch can be scanned by a switch matrix, providing

· Application/Control Number: 09/428,468

Art Unit: 2675

the operator knows not to connect too many switches at a time. The advantage is that more than one type of switch can be used.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The previous indication of allowability has been withdrawn.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Spencer whose telephone number is 703-306-5842.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras can be reached on 703-305-9720.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/428,468

Art Unit: 2675

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

STEVEN SARAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600